## Trends in net survival from 15 cancers in six European Latin countries: the SUDCAN population-based study material

Emanuele Crocettia, Nadine Bossard<sup>d,e,f,g</sup>, Zoe Uhry<sup>d,e,f,g,h</sup>, Laurent Roche<sup>d,e,f,g</sup>, Silvia Rossi<sup>b</sup>, Riccardo Capocaccia<sup>b,c</sup> and Jean Faivre<sup>i,j</sup>; the GRELL EUROCARE-5 Working Group\*

The aim of the SUDCAN collaborative study was to compare the net survival from 15 cancers diagnosed in 2000-2004 in six European Latin countries and provide trends in net survival and dynamics of excess mortality rates up to 5 years after diagnosis from 1992 to 2004 in France, Italy, Spain, and Switzerland, and from 2000 to 2004 in Belgium and Portugal. This paper presents a detailed description of the data analyzed and quality indicators. Incident cases from Belgium, France, Italy, Portugal, Spain, and Switzerland were retrieved from 56 general or specialized population-based cancer registries that participated in the EUROCARE-5 database. Fifteen cancer sites were analyzed. The data were checked according to the EUROCARE protocol. The percentages of excluded cases, cases based on death-certificate only, cases lost to follow-up at 5 years after diagnosis, and the proportions of microscopically verified cases were evaluated across countries and cancer sites. Data exclusions for major flaws were negligible. Cases based on death-certificate only were quite rare, except for some poor-prognosis cancers in some countries. The site-specific proportions of microscopically verified cases were generally high, but slightly lower in Italy than elsewhere. The percentage of cases lost to follow-up at 5 years after diagnosis was generally low. The net survival analyses in 2000-2004 included 873 314 tumors, whereas trend analyses included 1 426 004 tumors. The quality of the

data analyzed was generally good. In fact, the analyzed data have been already checked and accepted for EUROCARE-5. However, slight differences in quality indexes, for some cancers, should be kept in mind in the interpretation of survival comparisons across countries. European Journal of Cancer Prevention 25:S3-S8 Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

European Journal of Cancer Prevention 2016, 25:S3-S8

Keywords; cancer data collection, cancer registries, data collection, Europe. net survival

<sup>a</sup>Romagna Cancer Registry, Romagna Cancer Institute (IRST) IRCCS, Meldola, Forli, Italy, <sup>b</sup>National Centre for Epidemiology, Surveillance and Health Promotion, ISS, Rome, <sup>c</sup>Dipartimento di Medicina Predittiva e per la Prevenzione, S.S.D. Epidemiologia Valutativa, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milano, Italy, dDepartment of Biostatistics, University Hospital of Lyon, eUniversity of Lyon, Lyon, <sup>f</sup>University of Lyon 1, <sup>g</sup>CNRS UMR 5558, Biometrics and Evolutionary Biology Laboratory (LBBE), BioMaths-Health Department, Health-Biostatistics Group, Villeurbanne, hDepartment of Non-communicable Diseases and Injuries, French Institute for Public Health Surveillance (Invs), Sainte-Maurice, Digestive Cancer Registry of Burgundy, CHU de Dijon and JNSERM U 866, University of Burgundy, Dijon, France

Correspondence to Emanuele Crocetti, MD, via Piero Maroncelli, 40, 47014 Meldola (FC), Italy

Tel: +39 0543 739450; fax: +39 0543 739459; e-mail: emanuelecrocetti@vahoo.com

\*List of the members available at http://links.lww.com/EJCP/A137

Received 25 April 2016 Accepted 3 June 2016